



NHS Wales National Antiviral Service Clinical Group Treatment Options Evidence Review

Date: 25th October 2022

Author: See Document Control

Version: 1.0

Document Control

Version	Date	Author	Summary of changes	Document Status
1.0	10 Oct 2022	James Coulson / Alana Adams	Development of Guidance	Draft
1.0	25 Oct 2022	James Coulson / Alana Adams	Final version agreed	Final

Contents

Clinical Group Members	2
1. Introduction	3
2. Background.....	3
3. Evidence review - Results.....	3
4. Evidence Review - Recommendations	4
5. References.....	4

Clinical Group Members

Prof James Coulson	Clinical Director, All Wales Therapeutics and Toxicology Centre
Dr Jonathan Underwood	Consultant Physician Infectious Diseases, Cardiff and Vale UHB.
Mr Argiris Asderakis	Consultant Transplant and Nephrology, Cardiff and Vale UHB
Dr Helen Fitzgerald	Specialist Registrar in Medical Oncology, Velindre Cancer Centre
Mr Andrew Evans	Chief Pharmaceutical Officer, Welsh Government
Mrs Alana Adams	Principal Pharmacist, National Antiviral Service
Mr Rob Bradley	Consultant Pharmacist Transplant and Nephrology, Cardiff and Vale UHB

1. Introduction

The National Antiviral Service (NAVS) is hosted within the Welsh Medicines Advice Service of Cardiff and Vale University Health Board (CAVUHB) and is commissioned by Welsh Government, to provide antiviral treatment or referral for antibody treatment for extremely high-risk individuals with COVID-19 symptoms in the community. More information about NAVS and eligibility can be found on at this link [National Antiviral Service \(NAVS\) - Healthcare Professionals site - Welsh Medicines Information Centre \(wales.nhs.uk\)](https://wales.nhs.uk)

The evidence base for COVID-19 treatments is evolving and emerging variants, (such as omicron) and subvariants are changing the role of treatment. It requires regular assessment dependent upon not only the evidence, but also on likely circulating variants.

2. Background

In April 2022, the Federal Drug Administration (FDA) in the United States recommended against using Xevudy® (sotrovimab) to treat COVID-19. In September 2022, the World Health Organisation (WHO), via an update to the Living guideline on drugs for COVID-19, strongly advised against the use of sotrovimab for the treatment of COVID-19. Following this statement, in Wales, an evidence review has been completed.

3. Evidence review - Results

Following a literature review, a number of studies were identified, demonstrating the efficacy of sotrovimab remains uncertain. Many studies evaluated clinical trials concerning the in vitro neutralisation data of sotrovimab and showed reduced neutralisation activity of sotrovimab against currently circulating variants and subvariants. It is not clear whether, the in vitro neutralisation activity translates to clinical effectiveness and there are few trials to validate this.

Radcliffe *et al* (2022) studied the real-world effectiveness of monoclonal antibodies and oral antiviral agents in solid organ transplant recipients (SOTR) with COVID-19. Rates of hospitalization within 30 days of initiating therapy for Lagevrio® (molnupiravir), Paxlovid® (nirmatrelvir/ritonavir), and sotrovimab were 16% (8/49), 0% (0/1), and 8% (2/24), respectively, compared to 27% (13/48) in patients without outpatient

Neutralising monoclonal antibodies may still be an option for many high-risk patients (Wu *et al* 2022). A recent study only available in pre-print (Zeng *et al*) compared the effectiveness of sotrovimab (a neutralising monoclonal antibody) or molnupiravir (an antiviral) in preventing severe COVID-19 outcomes in non-hospitalised high-risk COVID-19 adult patients. Within 28 days after treatment initiation, 87 (1.4%) COVID-19 related hospitalisations/deaths were lower in those treated with sotrovimab compared with molnupiravir, 32 were treated with sotrovimab and 55 with molnupiravir.

A preliminary analysis of the PANORAMIC study reported 0.8% primary outcome (hospitalisation or death) in both the molnupiravir and usual care groups of vaccinated, high-risk, adults treated in the community. A reduction in recovery time, nine days compared to 15 days, and a reduction in viral load were observed in the molnupiravir arm compared to usual care.

There are still many areas of uncertainty including, the effect of the vaccination programme in high risk cohorts, the effect of variants on virulence and the effects of previous exposures.

4. Evidence Review - Recommendations

The clinical review group recommend that the COVID-19 treatment pathway should continue to offer sotrovimab at this current time, especially for SOTR or pregnant patients, until further information becomes available. It is advised that the number of patients receiving Paxlovid® as first choice should be maximised.

The clinical group will develop a visual decision aid and will offer regular training sessions for those involved in COVID-19 triage to increase confidence in the safe use of this medicine.

If Paxlovid® is not suitable despite this, remdesivir should be considered. The group acknowledges the practical difficulties with the administration of remdesivir in the out-patient setting as the schedule requires three doses on three consecutive days

1st line	Paxlovid®
2nd line	Remdesevir*
3rd line	Sotrovimab
4th line	Molnupiravir
* It is appreciated that the practical considerations for the administration of remdesivir renders it unsuitable for community deployment in most cases.	

5. References

Agarwal A *et al.* Rapid Recommendations: A living WHO guideline on drugs for COVID-19. BMJ. 2020;370:m3379. doi: [10.1136/bmj.m3379](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.m3379). Epub 2020 Sep 04

Radcliffe C *et al.* Real-world experience with available, out-patient COVID-19 therapies in solid organ transplant recipients during the omicron surge. Am J Transplant.2022;22(10):2458-2463. doi: [10.1111/ajt.17098](https://doi.org/10.1111/ajt.17098). Epub 2022 May 30

Wu MY *et al.* WHO's Therapeutic and COVID-19 Living Guideline on mAbs needs to be reassessed. The Lancet. 2022. doi: [10.1016/S0140-6736\(22\)01938-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(22)01938-9). Epub 2022 Oct 06

Zeng B *et al.* Pre-print: Comparative effectiveness of sotrovimab and molnupiravir for prevention of severe COVID-19 outcomes in non-hospitalised patients: an observational cohort study using the OpenSAFELY platform. doi: [10.1101/2022.05.22.22275417](https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.05.22.22275417). Posted 2022 Sep 23